

Although Plaintiff did not file an objection to the Recommendation of Dismissal,

he did file a response asking that the record in this case be “transferred” to another action filed by Plaintiff currently pending in this Court, Rufus v. Chapman, Case No. 3:11-cv-74(CAR), which raises similar claims. Plaintiff’s request for such a broad “transfer” is denied. Plaintiff must specifically identify the desired documents and/or claims he wishes to file in Case No. 3:11-cv-74, and file the appropriate motions to amend or supplement in that case. The Court will evaluate the request after any such motion is filed.

SO ORDERED, this 17th day of August, 2011.

S/ C. Ashley Royal
C. ASHLEY ROYAL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SSH